IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | § | |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| | § | |
| | § | CASE NO. 6:20-CR-00046-JDK |
| vs. | § | |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| LARRY VAN BUTCHER (1) | § | |

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON REVOCATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

On March 25, 2024, the Court held a final revocation hearing on a Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender under Supervision. The Government was represented by Assistant United States Attorney Lucas Machicek. Defendant was represented by Assistant Federal Defender Jonathan Hyatt.

Background

After pleading guilty to the offense of Prohibited Person in Possession of a Firearm, a Class C felony, Defendant Larry Van Butcher was sentenced on February 2, 2021 by United States District Judge Jeremy D. Kernodle. The offense carried a statutory maximum imprisonment term of 10 years. The guideline imprisonment range, based on a total offense level of 17 and a criminal history category of II, was 27 to 33 months. The Court sentenced Defendant below the guideline range based on a 5K1.1 to imprisonment for 21 months, followed by a 3-year term of supervised release subject to the standard conditions of release, plus special conditions to include financial disclosure, participation in a program of testing and treatment for substance abuse, and acquire a high school equivalency certificate.

Defendant completed his term of imprisonment and started his term of supervised release on January 6, 2022. The Court modified Defendant's conditions on April 10, 2023 to require residence in a residential reentry center or similar facility for a period of 180 days.

Allegations

In the Petition seeking to revoke Defendant's supervised release, filed on March 6, 2024, United States Probation Officer Wesley Basham alleges that Defendant violated the following conditions of supervised release:

- 1. Allegation 1 (mandatory condition #3): The defendant must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant must submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, as determined by the court. It is alleged that Defendant submitted positive urine specimens for methamphetamine on December 1, 2022; March 16, 2023; March 30, 2023; April 3, 2023; April 6, 2023; April 10, 2023; April 25, 2023; and February 21, 2024. All specimens were confirmed positive by Abbott Labs.
- 2. Allegation 2 (mandatory condition #1): The defendant must not commit another federal, state, or local crime. It is alleged that the Cherokee County Sheriff's Office issued Defendant a citation on January 4, 2024 for No Driver License (Driving While License Invalid).

Applicable Law

According to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3), the Court may revoke the term of supervised release and require a Defendant to serve in prison all or part of the term of supervised release without credit for the time previously served under supervision, if it finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated a term of supervised release. Supervised release shall be revoked upon a finding of a Grade A or B supervised release violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(a)(1). In the present case, Defendant's original offense of conviction was a Class C felony. Accordingly, the maximum imprisonment sentence that may be imposed is 2 years. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e).

Under the Sentencing Guidelines, which are non-binding,¹ if the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated his conditions of supervised release by possessing methamphetamine as alleged in the petition, he is guilty of a Grade B violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a). Defendant's original criminal history category was II. The guidelines provide that Defendant's guideline imprisonment range for a Grade B violation is 6 to 12 months. If the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated his conditions of supervised release by testing positive for methamphetamine and being issued a citation, as alleged in the petition, he is guilty of a Grade C violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a). With Defendant's original criminal history category of II, the guidelines provide that Defendant's guideline imprisonment range for a Grade C violation is 4 to 10 months.

Hearing

On March 25, 2024, Defendant appeared for a final revocation hearing. Assistant United States Attorney Lucas Machicek announced that Defendant and the Government reached an agreement for Defendant to enter a plea of true to Allegation 1 of the petition and to jointly request a sentence of imprisonment for a term of 6 months with no further term of supervised release. After the Court explained to Defendant his right to a revocation hearing, he waived his right to a revocation hearing and entered a plea of "true" to Allegation 1 of the petition. Defendant requested a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons to confine him at FCI Texarkana.

¹ The United States Sentencing Guidelines as applied to revocations of supervised release "have always been non-binding, advisory guides to district courts in supervised release revocation proceedings." *United States v. Brown*, 122 Fed.Appx. 648, 2005 WL 518704, slip op. p.1 (citing *United States v. Davis*, 53 F.3d 638, 642 (5th Cir. 1995)); see also *United States v. Mathena*, 23 F.3d 87 (5th Cir. 1994) (policy statements contained in Chapter 7 of the Sentencing Guidelines applicable to sentencing a defendant upon revocation of supervised release are advisory only.).

Findings and Conclusions

I find that Defendant is competent and that his plea and waiver of the revocation hearing was knowingly and voluntarily made. I accept Defendant's plea and find by a preponderance of the evidence that Allegation 1 of the petition is true. Defendant is guilty of a Grade B supervised release violation. I further find and conclude that Defendant's term of supervised release should be revoked and that he should be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of 6 months with no further term of supervised release. Any criminal history monetary penalties previously ordered in the final judgment should be imposed in this revocation, with all payments collected credited towards outstanding balances.

RECOMMENDATION

In light of the foregoing, it is recommended that Defendant's plea of true to Allegation 1 of the petition be **ACCEPTED** and that Defendant's term of supervised release be **REVOKED**. It is further recommended that Defendant be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of 6 months with no further term of supervised release. Any criminal monetary penalties previously ordered in the final judgment should be imposed in this revocation, with all payments collected credited towards outstanding balances. It is finally recommended that the Court request the Bureau of Prisons to designate Defendant to FCI Texarkana

Before the conclusion of the hearing, the undersigned announced the foregoing recommendation and notified Defendant of his right to object to this Report and Recommendation and to be present and allocute before being sentenced by the Court. Defendant waived those rights and executed a written waiver in open court. The Government also waived its right to object to the Report and Recommendation. It is therefore recommended that the Court revoke Defendant's

supervised release and enter a Judgment and Commitment for him to be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of 6 months with no further term of supervised release.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 25th day of March, 2024.

K. NICOLE MITCHELL

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE